



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/878,581	06/11/2001	Susumu Nakagawa	450100-03278	2762
20999 7590 10/19/2010 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151				
EXAMINER				
SHELEHEDA, JAMES R				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2424				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/19/2010		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

09/878,581

**Applicant(s)**

NAKAGAWA, SUSUMU

**Examiner**

JAMES SHELEHEDA

**Art Unit**

2424

**Period for Reply** -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22, 28-30 and 32-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22, 28-30 and 32-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SEA-3)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On pages 23-24, applicant argues that Bar-el only discloses transmitting a personalized frame and does not teach providing image content and advertisement image via stream distribution, download distribution, package distribution, deputy stream distribution and multicast stream distribution.

In response, Bar-el discloses transmitting *streaming* video sequences over a network (such as Internet) to user equipment (Fig. 1, Fig. 6; page 8, lines 15-18, page 16, line 22-page 17, line 13). Furthermore, Bar-el explicitly discloses where the video stream can also be *multicast* to multiple users (page 17, lines 14-16). Finally, as Bar-el discloses transmitting video streams over the Internet, a plurality of "deputy" routing devices and transmitters are controlled to transmit the content to the viewer. Therefore, applicant's arguments are not convincing.

In response to applicant's arguments on pages 23-24 regarding Bar-el and download/package and deputy stream distribution, it is noted that Bar-el was never relied upon to disclose these limitations. As seen in the rejections, it is Srinivasan, and not Bar-el, which was relied upon for teaching those limitations.

Srinivasan discloses multiple modes of distribution. The video and ads can be combined at the video servers and transmitted to the receiver in real time (paragraph 204), the video can be transmitted in real-time with markers indicating where ads are to

be inserted (paragraph 205-206). The receiver would then request the ads from the ad server and insert them during playback (paragraph 205-206). Finally, the video content can be fully downloaded and stored prior to playback (paragraph 216). As the video is "packaged" for distribution with either targeted ads or URLs directing the receiver to stored ads, it clearly meets the broad claim limitation of "package" distribution. Finally, as Srinivasan discloses transmitting video streams over the Internet, a plurality of "deputy" routing devices and transmitters are controlled to transmit the content to the viewer.

Finally, while Bar-el and Srinivasan disclose all of the claimed distribution methods, it is noted that these limitations are alternatives and the combination of references only needs to meet one particular method of distribution.

On page 24, applicant argues that Bar-el fails to disclose an advertisement image selecting means communicating with an image content providing apparatus and an image reproducing apparatus and receiving selection information from the image content producing apparatus or image reproducing apparatus in order to select an advertisement image.

In response, Bar-el discloses wherein the image reproducing apparatus (the user equipment) will send selection information over the Internet to the advertisement image selecting means (for example, selecting ads based on the previous user video selections; page 10, lines 3-20). Furthermore, as seen in Fig. 1 and 2, the "advertisement image selecting means" (20-22 selecting ads meeting the user profile) is

clearly in communication with the image content providing apparatus (video controller 24) and the image reproducing apparatus (12).

On pages 24-25, applicant argues that Bar-el discloses a network communication using a local area network and/or Internet, and does not teach or suggest (a) "stream distribution", (b) "deputy distribution" and (c) multicast stream distribution.

In response, as indicated above, it is noted that these limitations are alternatives and the combination of references only needs to meet one particular method of distribution.

Further, Bar-el discloses transmitting *streaming* video sequences over a network (such as Internet) to user equipment (Fig. 1, Fig. 6; page 8, lines 15-18, page 16, line 22-page 17, line 13). This meets the claimed stream distribution limitations.

Furthermore, Bar-el explicitly discloses where the video stream can also be *multicast* to multiple users over the Internet (page 17, lines 14-16). When streaming and multicasting video sequences over the Internet a plurality of "deputy" routing devices and splitters are controlled to transmit the content to the viewer. Therefore, applicant's arguments are not convincing.

On pages 25, applicant argues that Srinivasan only discloses a video on demand system and does not teach or suggest providing image content by a download distribution method and a package distribution method.

In response, Srinivasan is not limited to a "video on demand system" as applicant suggest, as Srinivasan explicitly discloses wherein the video content can be fully *downloaded* and stored prior to playback (paragraph 216). As the video is "packaged" for distribution with either targeted ads or URLs directing the receiver to stored ads, it clearly meets the broad claim limitation of "package" distribution.

Additionally, it is noted that while that Srinivasan meets the limitations for both "package" and download distribution, these limitations are alternatives and the references only need to meet one particular method of distribution.

On pages 25-26, applicant argues that Srinivasan only discloses a video on demand system and does not teach or suggest providing image content and advertisement image to a reproducing apparatus via stream distribution, deputy stream distribution and multicast stream distribution.

Srinivasan discloses multiple modes of distribution. The video and ads can be combined at the video servers and transmitted to the receiver in a real time stream (paragraph 204), the video can be transmitted in real-time with markers indicating where ads are to be inserted (paragraph 205-206). The receiver would then request the ads from the ad server and insert them during playback (paragraph 205-206). Additionally, as Srinivasan discloses transmitting video streams over the Internet, a plurality of "deputy" routing devices and transmitters are controlled to transmit the content to the viewer. Finally, Srinivasan discloses wherein the video content can be multicast

(broadcast) to multiple viewers at the same time (paragraph 207). Additionally, it is noted that Bar-el also already provides for these distribution methods, as shown above.

On page 26, applicant argues that Srinivasan discloses a video on demand system, and does not teach or suggest (a) "stream distribution", (b) "deputy distribution" and (c) multicast stream distribution.

In response, as indicated above, it is noted that these limitations are alternatives and the combination of references only needs to meet one particular method of distribution.

Further, Srinivasan discloses transmitting real time video streams over the Internet to user equipment (paragraph 204). This meets the claimed stream distribution limitations.

Furthermore, Srinivasan explicitly discloses where the video stream can also be *multicast* to multiple users over the Internet (paragraphs 207-209). When streaming and multicasting video sequences over the Internet a plurality of "deputy" routing devices and splitters are controlled to transmit the content to the viewer. Therefore, applicant's arguments are not convincing. Additionally, it is noted that Bar-el also already provides for these distribution methods, as shown above.

In response to applicant's arguments on pages 27-29, see above where it has been shown how Bar-el and Srinivasan provide for the claimed distribution methods.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 10-16, 18, 20, 21, 28, 29 and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bar-el (WO 99/26415 A1) (of record) in view of Srinivasan et al. (Srinivasan) (US 2001/0023436 A1) (of record) and Zigmond et al. (Zigmond) (6,698,020) (of record).

As to claim 10, Bar-el discloses an image content providing method of providing an image content via a network from an image content providing apparatus to an image content reproducing apparatus (page 7, lines 2-19), said image content providing method comprising the steps of:

requesting, via the stream distribution, distribution of said image content, said request sent from said image content reproducing apparatus to said image content providing apparatus (page 7, lines 20-22);

transmitting, via the network by one of stream distribution, download distribution and package distribution, said image content to said image content reproducing apparatus (Fig. 1; page 8, lines 4-18),

wherein, when said image content is transmitted via stream distribution, during transmission detecting the position of inserting the advertisement image in said image content at said image content providing apparatus (page 14, line 14-page 15, line 5);



requesting the advertisement image providing apparatus having said advertisement image to distribute said advertisement image to said image content providing apparatus (page 12, lines 3-9 and page 14, line 22-page 15, line 5) and sending the advertisement inserting condition to the advertising image providing apparatus (page 14, line 14-page 15, line 5);

selecting said advertisement image to be inserted into said image content based on at least the advertisement inserting condition (page 11, line 14-page 14, line 21) and transmitting thereof said advertisement image selected by stream distribution to said image content providing apparatus at said advertisement image providing apparatus (page 12, lines 3-9 and page 14, line 22-page 15, line 5);

inserting said advertisement image transmitted to said image content providing apparatus at the position of inserting said advertisement image in said image content at said image content providing apparatus (page 14, line 24-page 16, line 21);

distributing, via stream distribution the inserted advertisement image from said image content providing apparatus to said image content reproducing apparatus (Fig. 1-2; page 7, line 11-page 8, line 18).

While Bar-el discloses transmitting an advertising inserting condition to said image content reproducing apparatus (Fig. 6-7; page 17-18), detecting a position of inserting an advertisement image in said image content reproducing apparatus (Fig. 6-7; page 17-18), and selecting said advertisement image to be inserted into said image content based on at least an advertisement inserting condition (page 11, line 14-page 14, line 21), inserting said advertisement image transmitted to said image content

producing apparatus at the position of inserting said advertisement image in said image content at said image reproducing apparatus (page 14, line 24-page 16, line 21 and page 17-18), and display thereof (Fig. 6-7), he fails to specifically disclose transmitting said image content via one of download distribution and package distribution, for download distribution, requesting an advertisement image providing apparatus, having said advertisement image, to distribute said advertisement image to said image content reproducing apparatus and sending said advertisement inserting condition to said advertisement image providing apparatus by said image content reproducing apparatus, transmitting said advertisement image selected by stream distribution via the network to said image content producing apparatus, selecting said advertisement image to be inserted based upon a maximum number of distribution times and for stream distribution, restarting stream distribution of said image content from said image content providing apparatus to said image content reproducing apparatus when the distribution of the advertisement image finishes and for package distribution, requesting an advertisement image providing apparatus, having said advertisement image, to distribute said advertisement image to said image content reproducing apparatus and sending said advertisement inserting condition to said advertisement image providing apparatus by said image content reproducing apparatus, transmitting said advertisement image selected by stream distribution via the network to said image content producing apparatus, selecting said advertisement image to be inserted based upon a maximum number of distribution times and for stream distribution, restarting stream distribution of said image content from said image content providing apparatus

to said image content reproducing apparatus when the distribution of the advertisement image finishes.

In an analogous art, Srinivasan discloses a VOD system (paragraph 202) where a user will request a video (paragraph 202) and the system will transmit the content via download distribution or "package" distribution (paragraph 236) request an advertisement image providing apparatus, having said advertisement image, to distribute said advertisement image to said image content reproducing apparatus (paragraph 205-206), send said advertisement inserting condition to said advertisement image providing apparatus by said image content reproducing apparatus (paragraph 205-206), transmit said advertisement image selected by stream distribution via the network to said image content producing apparatus (paragraph 205-206) and for stream distribution, restarting stream distribution of said image content from said image content providing apparatus to said image content reproducing apparatus when the distribution of the advertisement image finishes (paragraph 202-204) for the typical benefit of providing traditional advertisement slots for broadcast commercials within a requested video stream (paragraphs 198-202 and paragraph 44 and 85) while providing the viewer with more options and flexibility on how to receive and view their desired content (paragraph 236).

Additionally, in an analogous art, Zigmond discloses a system for providing content and advertisements (column 4, lines 15-24) which utilizes advertisements which are provided to viewers up to a maximum number of times (column 13, lines 40-47) for

the typical benefit of preventing viewers from being frustrated through excessive exposure to the same advertisement (column 13, lines 45-47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Bar-el's system to include disclose transmitting said image content via one of download distribution and package distribution, for download distribution, requesting an advertisement image providing apparatus, having said advertisement image, to distribute said advertisement image to said image content reproducing apparatus and sending said advertisement inserting condition to said advertisement image providing apparatus by said image content reproducing apparatus, transmitting said advertisement image selected by stream distribution via the network to said image content producing apparatus, and for stream distribution, restarting stream distribution of said image content from said image content providing apparatus to said image content reproducing apparatus when the distribution of the advertisement image finishes and for package distribution, requesting an advertisement image providing apparatus, having said advertisement image, to distribute said advertisement image to said image content reproducing apparatus and sending said advertisement inserting condition to said advertisement image providing apparatus by said image content reproducing apparatus, transmitting said advertisement image selected by stream distribution via the network to said image content producing apparatus, restarting stream distribution of said image content from said image content providing apparatus to said image content reproducing apparatus when the distribution of the advertisement image finishes, as taught in combination with Srinivasan, for the typical benefit of

providing traditional advertisement slots for broadcast commercials within a requested video stream while providing the viewer with more options and flexibility on how to receive and view their desired content.

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Bar-el and Srinivasan's system to include selecting an advertisement based upon a maximum number of distribution times, as taught in combination with Zigmond, for the typical benefit of preventing viewers from being frustrated through excessive exposure to the same advertisement.

As to claim 18, while Bar-el discloses image content providing system communicating via a network (page 7, lines 2-19), comprising:

an image content providing apparatus having an image content and having a function of providing said image content and an advertisement image via the network (Fig. 1; page 8, lines 4-18);

an advertisement image providing apparatus having the advertisement image to be inserted to said image content and having a function of providing said advertisement image via stream distribution to said image content providing apparatus (page 12, lines 3-9 and page 14, line 22-page 15, line 5) based on at least an advertisement inserting condition (page 14, line 14-page 15, line 5);

an image content reproducing apparatus having a function of reproducing said image content and said advertisement image received from said image content providing apparatus (page 8, lines 15-18);

wherein said image content providing apparatus has a function of inserting the advertisement image transmitted via stream distribution from said advertisement image providing apparatus at the position in said image content (page 14, line 24-page 16, line 21), wherein the image content processing system provides the image content and advertisement image to the image content reproducing apparatus by the network via stream distribution when the image content is subjected to stream distribution, via deputy stream distribution when the image content is subjected to deputy stream distribution and via multicast stream distribution when the image content is subjected to multicast stream distribution (Fig. 1, Fig. 6; page 8, lines 15-18, page 16, line 22-page 17, line 16), he fails to specifically disclose restarting stream distribution of said image content from said image content providing apparatus to said image content reproducing apparatus when the distribution of the advertisement image finishes and a maximum number of distribution times.

In an analogous art, Srinivasan discloses a VOD system (paragraph 202) where a user will request a video (paragraph 202) and the system will provide the video to the user via stream distribution, deputy stream distribution or multicast distribution (paragraphs 202-209), insert advertisement images at the appropriate position (paragraphs 202-204) and then restart stream distribution of the video when the ad is finished (starting and stopping of ad and video streams; paragraph 204) for the typical benefit of providing traditional advertisement slots for broadcast commercials within a requested video stream (paragraphs 198-202 and paragraph 44 and 85).

Additionally, in an analogous art, Zigmond discloses a system for providing content and advertisements (column 4, lines 15-24) which utilizes advertisements which are provided to viewers up to a maximum number of times (column 13, lines 40-47) for the typical benefit of preventing viewers from being frustrated through excessive exposure to the same advertisement (column 13, lines 45-47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Bar-el's system to include restarting distribution of said image content from said image content providing apparatus to said image content reproducing apparatus when the distribution of the advertisement image finishes, as taught in combination with Srinivasan, for the typical benefit of providing traditional advertisement slots for broadcast commercials within a requested video stream.

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Bar-el and Srinivasan's system to include a maximum number of distribution times, as taught in combination with Zigmond, for the typical benefit of preventing viewers from being frustrated through excessive exposure to the same advertisement.

As to claim 34, Bar-el, Srinivasan and Zigmond disclose wherein said image content is a moving picture (page 8, lines 11-18).

As to claim 36, while Bar-el discloses an image providing method of providing an image from an image program providing apparatus to an image program reproducing apparatus (page 7, lines 2-19), said image providing method comprising the steps of:

requesting, via the network, distribution of said image from said image program reproducing apparatus to said image content program providing apparatus (page 7, lines 20-22);

transmitting, via the network, said image to said image program reproducing apparatus (Fig. 1; page 8, lines 4-18) and during transmission detecting a position of inserting an advertisement image in said image at said image program providing apparatus (page 14, line 14-page 15, line 5);

requesting an advertisement image providing apparatus having said advertisement image to distribute said advertisement image to said image program providing apparatus when the position of inserting the image is detected (page 12, lines 3-9 and page 14, line 22-page 15, line 5) and providing advertisement inserting condition to said advertisement image providing apparatus (page 11, line 14-page 14, line 21);

selecting said advertisement image to be inserted into said image based on at least the advertisement inserting condition received (page 11, line 14-page 14) and transmitting thereof by stream distribution to said image program providing apparatus at said advertisement image providing apparatus (page 12, lines 3-9 and page 14, line 22-page 15, line 5);



inserting said advertisement image transmitted to said image program providing apparatus at the position of inserting said advertisement image detected in said image (page 14, line 24-page 16, line 21); and

distributing, via the network, the advertisement image wherein the image content processing system provides the image content and advertisement image to the image content reproducing apparatus directly when the image content is subjected to stream distribution, via a plurality of deputy stream providing apparatuses when the image content is subjected to deputy stream distribution and via a plurality of distribution splitter nodes when the image content is subjected to multicast stream distribution (Fig. 1, Fig. 6; page 8, lines 15-18, page 16, line 22-page 17, line 16), he fails to specifically disclose transmitting the selected advertisement via the network to said image program providing apparatus, restarting stream distribution of said image content from said image content providing apparatus to said image content reproducing apparatus when the distribution of the advertisement image finishes and a maximum number of distribution times.

In an analogous art, Srinivasan discloses a VOD system (paragraph 202) where a user will request a video (paragraph 202) and the system will provide the video to the user via streaming, deputy streaming or multicast streaming (paragraphs 202-210), insert advertisement images at the appropriate position (paragraphs 202-204) by transmitting the selected advertisement via network to the image program providing apparatus (video/ad servers are separate Internet servers; paragraph 204) and then restart stream distribution of the video when the ad is finished (starting and stopping of

ad and video streams; paragraph 204) for the typical benefit of providing traditional advertisement slots for broadcast commercials within a requested video stream (paragraphs 198-202 and paragraph 44 and 85).

Additionally, in an analogous art, Zigmond discloses a system for providing content and advertisements (column 4, lines 15-24) which utilizes advertisements which are provided to viewers up to a maximum number of times (column 13, lines 40-47) for the typical benefit of preventing viewers from being frustrated through excessive exposure to the same advertisement (column 13, lines 45-47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Bar-el's system to include transmitting the selected advertisement via the network to said image program providing apparatus, restarting distribution of said image content from said image content providing apparatus to said image content reproducing apparatus when the distribution of the advertisement image finishes, as taught in combination with Srinivasan, for the typical benefit of providing traditional advertisement slots for broadcast commercials within a requested video stream.

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Bar-el and Srinivasan's system to include a maximum number of distribution times, as taught in combination with Zigmond, for the typical benefit of preventing viewers from being frustrated through excessive exposure to the same advertisement.

As to claim 35, while Bar-el, Srinivasan and Zigmond disclose wherein said image content is video, they fail to specifically disclose wherein said video content is one of a movie, a drama and an animation.

The examiner takes Official Notice that it was notoriously well known in the art at the time of invention to provide movies, dramas and an animation, which were all well known and popular forms of video, for the typical benefit of providing viewers with the respective form of video content, such as a movie, drama or animation, that they desire.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Bar-el, Srinivasan and Zigmond's system to include wherein said video content is one of a movie, a drama and an animation for the typical benefit of providing viewers with the respective form of video content, such as a movie, drama or animation, that they desire, in an interactive video distribution system.

As to claim 20, while Bar-el discloses an image content providing apparatus for providing an image content via a network (Fig. 1; page 8, lines 4-18), said image content providing apparatus comprising:

an image content database for storing said image content (Fig. 2; page 11, lines 20-23);

image providing means having a function of receiving an advertisement image based on at least an advertisement inserting condition (page 12, lines 3-9 and page 14, line 22-page 15, line 5), inserting the advertisement image at a position in said image content of said image database (page 14, line 24-page 16, line 21) and distributing

thereof via the network by stream distribution (Fig. 1-2; page 7, line 11-page 8, line 18),  
and

list forming means having a function of forming information of viewing said image content stored to said image content database and providing said title list (means for user selection of an available video; page 11, lines 20-21), wherein the image content processing system provides the image content and advertisement image to the image content reproducing apparatus by the network via stream distribution when the image content is subjected to stream distribution, via deputy stream distribution when the image content is subjected to deputy stream distribution and via multicast stream distribution when the image content is subjected to multicast stream distribution (Fig. 1, Fig. 6; page 8, lines 15-18, page 16, line 22-page 17, line 16), he fails to specifically disclose a title list, restarting distribution of said image content from said image content providing apparatus to said image content reproducing apparatus when the distribution of the advertisement image finishes and a maximum number of distribution times and receiving the selected advertisement via the network at said image providing means.

The examiner takes Official Notice that it was notoriously well known in the art at the time of invention to provide a title list, listing the available videos for selection, for the typical benefit of providing a well known user friendly means for viewers easily identify and select a desired video.

In an analogous art, Srinivasan discloses a VOD system (paragraph 202) where a user will request a video (paragraph 202) and the system will provide the video to the user via stream distribution, deputy stream distribution or multicast distribution

(paragraphs 202-210), transmit the selected advertisement via network to the image program providing apparatus (video/ad servers are separate Internet servers; paragraph 204), insert advertisement images at the appropriate position (paragraphs 202-204) and then restart stream distribution of the video when the ad is finished (starting and stopping of ad and video streams; paragraph 204) for the typical benefit of providing traditional advertisement slots for broadcast commercials within a requested video stream (paragraphs 198-202 and paragraph 44 and 85).

Additionally, in an analogous art, Zigmond discloses a system for providing content and advertisements (column 4, lines 15-24) which utilizes advertisements which are provided to viewers up to a maximum number of times (column 13, lines 40-47) for the typical benefit of preventing viewers from being frustrated through excessive exposure to the same advertisement (column 13, lines 45-47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Bar-el's system to include a title list for the typical benefit of providing a well known user friendly means for viewers easily identify and select a desired video.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Bar-el's system to include transmitting the selected advertisement via network to the image providing means, restarting distribution of said image content from said image content providing apparatus to said image content reproducing apparatus when the distribution of the advertisement image finishes, as

taught in combination with Srinivasan, for the typical benefit of providing traditional advertisement slots for broadcast commercials within a requested video stream.

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Bar-el and Srinivasan's system to include a maximum number of distribution times, as taught in combination with Zigmond, for the typical benefit of preventing viewers from being frustrated through excessive exposure to the same advertisement.

As to claim 28, while Bar-el discloses a program storage medium stored with an image content providing program for providing an image content (Fig. 2; page 11, lines 20-23), said program storage medium comprising:

image providing means having a function of receiving an advertisement image via stream distribution based on at least an advertisement inserting condition (page 12, line 3-page 15, line 5), inserting the advertisement image at a position in said image content of said image database (page 14, line 24-page 16, line 21) and distributing of the advertisement image via a network (Fig. 1-2; page 7, line 11-page 8, line 18),

means having a function of forming information of viewing said image content stored to said image content database and providing said title (means for user selection of an available video; page 11, lines 20-21), wherein the image content processing system provides the image content and advertisement image to the image content reproducing apparatus by the network via stream distribution when the image content is subjected to stream distribution, via deputy stream distribution when the image content

is subjected to deputy stream distribution and via multicast stream distribution when the image content is subjected to multicast stream distribution (Fig. 1, Fig. 6; page 8, lines 15-18, page 16, line 22-page 17, line 16), he fails to specifically disclose a title list, restarting distribution of said image content from said image content providing apparatus to said image content reproducing apparatus when the distribution of the advertisement image finishes and a maximum number of distribution times and receiving the selected advertisement via the network at said image providing means.

The examiner takes Official Notice that it was notoriously well known in the art at the time of invention to provide a title list, listing the available videos for selection, for the typical benefit of providing a well known user friendly means for viewers easily identify and select a desired video.

In an analogous art, Srinivasan discloses a VOD system (paragraph 202) where a user will request a video (paragraph 202) and the system will stream the video to the user (paragraphs 202-204), transmit the selected advertisement via network to the image program providing apparatus (video/ad servers are separate Internet servers; paragraph 204), insert advertisement images at the appropriate position (paragraphs 202-204) and then restart stream distribution of the video when the ad is finished (starting and stopping of ad and video streams; paragraph 204) for the typical benefit of providing traditional advertisement slots for broadcast commercials within a requested video stream (paragraphs 198-202 and paragraph 44 and 85).

Additionally, in an analogous art, Zigmond discloses a system for providing content and advertisements (column 4, lines 15-24) which utilizes advertisements which

are provided to viewers up to a maximum number of times (column 13, lines 40-47) for the typical benefit of preventing viewers from being frustrated through excessive exposure to the same advertisement (column 13, lines 45-47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Bar-el's system to include a title list for the typical benefit of providing a well known user friendly means for viewers easily identify and select a desired video.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Bar-el's system to include restarting distribution of said image content from said image content providing apparatus to said image content reproducing apparatus when the distribution of the advertisement image finishes and transmitting the selected advertisement via network to the image program providing apparatus, as taught in combination with Srinivasan, for the typical benefit of providing traditional advertisement slots for broadcast commercials within a requested video stream.

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Bar-el and Srinivasan's system to include a maximum number of distribution times, as taught in combination with Zigmond, for the typical benefit of preventing viewers from being frustrated through excessive exposure to the same advertisement.



As to claim 12, Bar-el, Srinivasan and Zigmond disclose wherein the position of said image content for inserting said advertisement image is detected at said image content reproducing apparatus based on advertisement inserting condition data having an advertisement image inserting position condition for designating the position of inserting said advertisement image and an advertisement image selecting condition for designating a category of said advertisement image capable of being inserted to said image content (column 14, lines 14-21 and column 11, lines 14-19).

As to claim 13, Bar-el, Srinivasan and Zigmond disclose wherein said advertisement inserting condition data includes an advertisement image reproducing condition for designating a maximum period of time for reproducing said advertisement image when said advertisement image is inserted to said image content (page 14, lines 14-21).

As to claim 14, Bar-el, Srinivasan and Zigmond disclose wherein when said advertisement image is requested to distribute at said image content providing apparatus, said advertisement inserting condition data is transmitted to said advertisement image providing apparatus (page 11, lines 9-19) and when said advertisement image is selected at said advertisement image providing apparatus, said advertisement image is selected based on said advertisement inserting condition data (page 11, lines 9-19).

As to claim 15, Bar-el, Srinivasan and Zigmond disclose wherein when said advertisement image is requested to distribute at said image content providing apparatus, said viewer information is transmitted to said advertisement image providing apparatus (page 11, lines 6-19) and when said advertisement image is selected at said advertisement image providing apparatus, said advertisement image is selected based on said viewer information (page 11, lines 6-19).

As to claim 11, while Bar-el, Srinivasan and Zigmond disclose wherein when said image content is requested to distribute at said image content reproducing apparatus, said image content is selected and requested to distribute based on information for viewing said distributable image contents to be transmitted from said image content providing apparatus (page 7, line 20), they fail to specifically disclose a title list.

The examiner takes Official Notice that it was notoriously well known in the art at the time of invention to provide a title list, listing the available videos for selection, for the typical benefit of providing a well known user friendly means for viewers easily identify and select a desired video.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Bar-el, Srinivasan and Zigmond's system to include a title list for the typical benefit of providing a well known user friendly means for viewers easily identify and select a desired video.

As to claim 16, Bar-el, Srinivasan and Zigmond disclose wherein said image content is provided by said image content providing apparatus by subjecting said image content to download distribution to said image content reproducing apparatus (see Srinivasan at paragraph 236).

As to claims 21 and 29, while Bar-el discloses an advertisement image providing apparatus having an advertisement image and providing said advertisement image (Fig. 2; page 11, lines 20-23), said advertisement image providing apparatus comprising:

an advertisement image database for storing said advertisement image (page 11);

advertisement image selecting means communicating with an image content providing apparatus and an image reproducing apparatus (Fig. 1-2), said advertisement image selecting means for receiving via the Internet by stream distribution selection information (page 10, lines 3-20) from an image reproducing apparatus (page 10, lines 3-20, Fig. 1) and for selecting said advertisement image to be provided from said advertisement image database based upon said selection information (page 11 and 14);

advertisement image providing means having a function of providing by stream distribution said advertisement image selected by said advertisement image selecting means (Fig. 1-2; page 11 and 14) to the image content providing apparatus and the image reproduction apparatus (ads from object storage transmitted to the server for transmission to the viewers; Fig. 1-2 and 4) and generating an advertisement providing

log which is history information when said advertisement image is selected (page 9, lines 10-18 and page 11, lines 14-19);

an advertisement providing log database for storing said advertisement providing log (page 9, lines 10-18 and page 11, lines 14-19); and

advertisement database registering means for attaching an advertisement identifier to said advertisement image and storing said advertisement image to said advertisement image database (page 12, lines 3-9), he fails to specifically disclose a maximum number of distribution times and receiving the advertisement selection from the image content providing apparatus via the Internet and transmitting the advertisement via the Internet.

In an analogous art, Srinivasan discloses a VOD system (paragraph 202) where a user will request a video (paragraph 202) and the system will stream the video to the user (paragraphs 202-204), transmit selection information to the advertisement server via the Internet (paragraph 202-204), transmit the selected advertisement via the Internet to the image program providing apparatus (video/ad servers are separate Internet servers; paragraph 204) and insert advertisement images at the appropriate position (paragraphs 202-204) for the typical benefit of providing allowing multiple systems and servers to operate together to provide the video and advertising services (paragraphs 198-204).

Additionally, in an analogous art, Zigmond discloses a system for providing content and advertisements (column 4, lines 15-24) which utilizes advertisements which are provided to viewers up to a maximum number of times (column 13, lines 40-47) for

the typical benefit of preventing viewers from being frustrated through excessive exposure to the same advertisement (column 13, lines 45-47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Bar-el's system to include receiving the advertisement selection via the Internet and transmitting the advertisement via the Internet, as taught in combination with Srinivasan, for the typical benefit of providing allowing multiple systems and servers to operate together to provide the video and advertising services.

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Bar-el and Srinivasan's system to include a maximum number of distribution times, as taught in combination with Zigmond, for the typical benefit of preventing viewers from being frustrated through excessive exposure to the same advertisement.

4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bar-el, Srinivasan and Zigmond and further in view of Hite.

As to claim 17, while Bar-el, Srinivasan and Zigmond disclose transmitting and storing the image content to the image content reproducing apparatus prior to reproduction (see Srinivasan at paragraph 236), they fail to specifically disclose wherein said image content is provided by said image content providing means by transmitting an information recording medium recorded with said image content to said image content reproducing apparatus.

In an analogous art, Hite discloses system for providing image content and advertisement images (column 7) where the content is provided by transmitting an information recording medium recorded with said content to said image content reproducing apparatus (column 9, lines 15-42) for the typical benefit of providing viewers with additional means to receive programming, which would reduce system bandwidth and could be provided during times that the transmission network is malfunctioning.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Bar-el, Srinivasan and Zigmund's system to include wherein said image content is provided by said image content providing means by transmitting an information recording medium recorded with said image content to said image content reproducing apparatus, as taught in combination with Hite, for the typical benefit of providing viewers with additional means to receive programming, which would reduce system bandwidth and could be provided during times that the transmission network is malfunctioning.

### ***Conclusion***

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES SHELEHEDA whose telephone number is (571)272-7357. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number:  
09/878,581  
Art Unit: 2424

Page 31

/James Sheleheda/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2424

JS